	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/940,591	SAKAI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kevin L McHenry	1725	
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>		
(1) Kevin L McHenry, examiner.	(3)		
(2) Melanie Mealy, applicant's representative.	(4)		
Date of Interview: 17 February 2004	Time: <u>1:00 pm</u>		
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:		•	
Claims discussed: 2, 4, 5, and 7-13			
Prior art documents discussed:  Lesieur et al. (U.S.P. 6,129,835) and Lesieur et al. (U.S.P. 6,454)	.935)		
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:	
Part III.			
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary</li> </ul>	e examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)			

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner indicated that claims 2-7 were allowable except for minor informalitites. The examiner indicated that claim 1 was rejectable under U.S.P. 6,129,835 or U.S.P. 6,454,935. Agreement was reached to cancel claim 1, amend claims 2, 4, 5, and 7 to overcome informalities, and to add claims 8-13 to encompass the further embodiments of the invention.